IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1025 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.926 OF 2006

Suresh Babu Malage ...Applicant

vs.

C.B.I. A.C.B. & Anr. ...Respondents

Mr.B.G.Vaidya with Mr.K.T.K.Menon for the Applicant
Mr.Ejaz Khan for Respondent No.1 Special P.P.
Mr.S.V.More, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : OCTOBER 13,2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocates for the Applicant. The Applicant is the original Accused No.2. He has been convicted for offence punishable under section 120-B of the Indian Penal Code. He has also been convicted for offence under section 120-B read with section 406 of the said Code. The substantive sentences are ordered to run concurrently. The maximum substantive sentence is of one year. The learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the fine amount will be deposited within a period of three weeks from today. The Applicant was on bail throughout the trial. There is no allegation of misuse of the liberty granted to him.

2. Hence, I pass the following order :

i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on the same bail on which he was enlarged during the pendency of the Trial subject to filing fresh bail bonds.

ii) The Applicant will report to the office of Central Bureau of Investigation, A.C.B. once in every calendar month till the final disposal of the Appeal.

. At this stage, the learned Counsel for the Respondent submits that the amounts referred to in paragraph 70 of the impugned order may be ordered to be released. It is not necessary to pass a specific order in that behalf in view of clause 7 of the operative part of the impugned order.

JUDGE